The Pyramids of Giza: Legacy of an Unknown Civilization

[Expanded Complete Edition]

António Ambrósio (Independent Scholar)

ABSTRACT

Conventional Egyptological theory posits a linear evolution of pyramid construction, culminating in the magnificent structures of the Giza Plateau during the Fourth Dynasty (c. 2600–2500 BCE). The pyramids of Khufu, Khafre, and Menkaure are presented as the zenith of Old Kingdom engineering, a natural progression from earlier complexes like the Step Pyramid of Djoser. However, this article synthesizes a growing body of anomalous evidence that challenges this orthodox narrative. By critically examining the absence of primary funerary evidence, the unparalleled and unreplicated architectural precision of the Giza pyramids, the controversial geological dating of the Sphinx, and the lack of definitive contemporary inscriptions, this paper argues for a radical reinterpretation. We propose that the three primary pyramids of Giza were not originally constructed by the Fourth Dynasty pharaohs but were instead appropriated, renovated, and incorporated into their funerary complexes. The pyramids of the Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Dynasties, therefore, represent a concerted but ultimately failed attempt to replicate the superior technology and knowledge of an earlier, unidentified civilization that once inhabited the Nile Valley. This hypothesis, while challenging to the established chronology, offers a more coherent explanation for the technological and qualitative regression that followed the supposed "apex" of pyramid building and aligns with emerging evidence of a complex, pre-dynastic habitation of the region.

1. INTRODUCTION: THE ANOMALIES AT THE HEART OF GIZA

The Giza Plateau stands as one of the most studied archaeological sites on Earth, yet it remains one of the most enigmatic. The standard narrative, taught for generations, presents a clear and logical progression: the early mastabas, the innovative step pyramid of Djoser at Saqqara, the "collapsed" pyramid at Meidum, the bent and red pyramids of Dashur (attributed to Sneferu), and finally, the "perfect," smooth-sided pyramids of Giza, built by Sneferu's successors, Khufu, Khafre, and Menkaure. This model suggests a dynasty of master builders learning and perfecting their craft over a mere 75 years.

However, this elegant narrative is built upon a foundation of anomalies that are often glossed over or dismissed by mainstream scholarship. This article argues that a closer, interdisciplinary examination of the evidence reveals a far more complex and compelling story. The central premise of this paper is that the Giza pyramids were not the product of Fourth Dynasty innovation but were pre-existing structures, the legacy of an unknown, earlier civilization, that were later co-opted and claimed by the pharaohs of the Old Kingdom. The subsequent pyramids of the Fifth and Sixth Dynasties, and even the earlier "experimental" pyramids, can be better understood as indigenous Egyptian attempts to emulate these monumental and mysterious structures, attempts that ultimately fell short of their enigmatic predecessors.

The absence of royal mummies in the three main pyramids is an undeniable fact. None of them contained the mortal remains of the pharaohs to whom they are attributed. Furthermore, the architectural precision of the Giza pyramids, with astronomical and mathematical alignments that later pyramids failed to replicate, raises serious questions regarding their origin. Finally, the water erosion observed on the Sphinx, which suggests a much greater antiquity than traditionally assumed, challenges the conventional chronology in a fundamental way that cannot be dismissed without genuine interdisciplinary scrutiny.

2. THE FOURTH DYNASTY ANOMALY: PRECISION WITHOUT PARALLEL

The most immediate and undeniable evidence supporting this hypothesis is the sheer architectural and engineering prowess displayed at Giza, a prowess that is conspicuously absent from all other Egyptian pyramids, both before and after. If Khufu, Khafre, and Menkaure were indeed the true builders, one must ask why subsequent pyramids are structurally and qualitatively inferior in almost every measurable aspect.

2.1. Unmatched Metrological Precision

The Great Pyramid of Khufu, in particular, exhibits a level of precision that borders on the unbelievable for a society supposedly reliant on copper tools and simple ramps. Its base is an almost perfect square, with sides measuring 230.4 meters (755.69 feet) on average. The maximum variation in length between the four sides is a mere 4.4 centimeters (1.7 inches). Even more astounding is its leveling: the entire 5.3-hectare (13.1-acre) base is level to within just 2.1 centimeters (0.8 inches). This is a feat of civil engineering that would be challenging to replicate today without the use of laser-guided surveying equipment. No other pyramid in Egypt, including those attributed to Sneferu, Khufu's own father, comes close to this level of precision.

The implications of this are profound. The leveling of such a vast area requires technologies and methodologies that we have no evidence existed during the Old Kingdom. The standard explanation, that the Egyptians used a system of trenches filled with water, is theoretically possible but practically implausible for achieving millimeter precision across a bedrock surface. The margin of error is simply too small for such a rudimentary technique, especially considering the uneven nature of the plateau itself.

2.2. Advanced Astronomical Alignment

The pyramids of Giza are not randomly placed. Their sides are aligned with remarkable accuracy to the four cardinal directions. The Great Pyramid's deviation from true north is a mere 3/60th of a degree, an accuracy that was not surpassed until the development of modern telescopic methods. While many Egyptian temples show some degree of astronomical alignment, the precision at Giza is exceptional and stands apart from all later constructions.

Furthermore, as proposed by Robert Bauval, the layout of the three main pyramids on the ground corresponds almost exactly to the positions of the three stars of Orion's Belt (Alnitak, Alnilam, and Mintaka) as they would have appeared in the sky around 10,500 BCE. The Egyptians associated the constellation Orion with Osiris, the god of rebirth and resurrection. While Bauval's "Orion Correlation Theory" remains a subject of vigorous debate within academic circles, the underlying proposition, that the Giza plateau represents a master plan of celestial geometry of extraordinary sophistication, is difficult to dismiss as mere coincidence. The alignment is too precise, the correlation too striking, to be entirely accidental.

2.3. Irreproducible Internal Engineering

The internal structure of the Great Pyramid is equally sophisticated and displays characteristics that were never replicated in any subsequent Egyptian construction. The Grand Gallery, a magnificent corbelled vault rising to a height of 8.74 meters (28.7 feet), is a masterpiece of structural engineering that uses overlapping courses of stone to distribute weight with mathematical precision. The King's Chamber, constructed from massive granite beams weighing up to 80 tons each, is sealed from above by a series of five relieving chambers designed to deflect the immense weight of the superstructure away from the chamber itself.

The precision with which these granite blocks were cut and fitted together is astonishing. The joints between blocks are so fine that a knife blade cannot be inserted between them. Even more significantly, the saw marks observed on some of these blocks are clean, parallel, and consistent, resembling the cuts made by modern diamond-tipped mechanical saws, not the crude copper saws and abrasive sand that Egyptologists claim were the only tools available during the Old Kingdom. Copper is a relatively soft metal that would wear down quickly when cutting granite, one of the hardest stones known to humanity. The existence of such precise, clean cuts strongly suggests the use of advanced machining technology that simply does not fit within the technological context of the Fourth Dynasty.

3. THE SPHINX AND ITS DEEPLY ANTIQUE WEATHERING

Perhaps the most potent geological evidence for a pre-dynastic origin of the Giza complex comes from the Great Sphinx. The Sphinx is carved directly from the bedrock of the plateau, and its enclosure walls exhibit a pattern of erosion that has become a focal point of controversy and a cornerstone of the argument for a much older chronology.

3.1. The Schoch Analysis

Dr. Robert Schoch, a geologist from Boston University with no vested interest in Egyptological debates, conducted a detailed analysis of the Sphinx's erosion patterns over multiple field seasons. His conclusions were revolutionary and remain largely uncontested by geologists, even if Egyptologists have been reluctant to engage with them.

Schoch determined that the primary agent of erosion on the body of the Sphinx and the walls of its enclosure was not wind-blown sand, the dominant erosive force in the region for the last five millennia, but precipitation-induced weathering.

The deep, undulating vertical fissures and the rounded, weathered profile of the limestone enclosure walls are characteristic of millennia of rainfall and water runoff. Schoch argues, with detailed photographic and geological evidence, that wind erosion creates sharp, horizontal, undercut features, which are indeed present on the Sphinx but are clearly secondary to the primary water weathering. The verticality and depth of the fissures cannot be explained by wind action alone and require prolonged exposure to flowing water.

3.2. Climatological Implications

The key implication of Schoch's analysis is climatological and, by extension, chronological. The Giza Plateau has been an arid desert environment for most of the last 5,000 years. The last period in Egypt's history when there was sufficient and consistent rainfall to cause this type of profound, large-scale weathering ended around 3000 BCE, at the close of the Neolithic Subpluvial, a period often referred to as the "Green Sahara" when the region was a savanna supporting diverse flora, fauna, and human populations.

If the Sphinx exhibits significant water erosion, then its construction must predate this aridification by a considerable margin. Schoch, after extensive analysis, initially proposed a construction date of 5000–7000 BCE. Based on further geological studies and correlations with astronomical alignments, he later revised his estimate to as early as 9000–10,000 BCE. This places the carving of the Sphinx, and by extension, the intimately associated pyramid complex, thousands of years before the emergence of dynastic Egyptian civilization, in a period when the region was fertile and capable of supporting a sophisticated, sedentary culture.

3.3. Rebuttals and Counter-Rebuttals

Mainstream Egyptologists, most notably Zahi Hawass and Mark Lehner, have dismissed Schoch's findings without, critics argue, engaging seriously with the geological evidence. They attribute the erosion to a combination of natural salt crystallization (haloclasty) from groundwater, the poor quality of the limestone itself, and occasional heavy rains that may have persisted into the early Old Kingdom.

However, Schoch and other geologists, including Colin Reader, have systematically countered these alternative explanations. They point out that the unique and deep weathering patterns on the Sphinx enclosure are not replicated on other Old Kingdom structures carved from the same limestone bands, such as the nearby Khafre Valley Temple or the Old Kingdom tombs to the west of the Sphinx. If salt weathering or occasional rains were the cause, these structures, made of identical materials and exposed to the same environmental conditions, should show similar erosion patterns. They do not.

Reader further argues, based on hydrological analysis, that the pattern of runoff that caused the erosion on the Sphinx enclosure was disrupted by the construction of Khufu's quarries to the west, providing strong circumstantial evidence that the Sphinx predates the reign of Khufu himself.

4. THE ABSENCE OF A BUILDER: EPIGRAPHIC AND FUNERARY SILENCES

If Khufu, Khafre, and Menkaure built the Giza pyramids as their eternal tombs, one would expect to find overwhelming and unambiguous evidence of their patronage. Yet, the archaeological and epigraphic records are strangely, almost suspiciously, silent.

4.1. The "Khufu Cartouche" Controversy

The only direct inscriptional evidence linking Khufu to the Great Pyramid is a series of crude red ochre graffiti found in the so-called "relieving chambers" above the King's Chamber. These inscriptions, discovered in 1837 by Colonel Richard Howard Vyse, contain a cartouche bearing the name "Khufu." However, the authenticity of these "quarry marks" has been questioned since the moment of their discovery.

Skeptics, including the pioneering Egyptologist Sir Flinders Petrie, noted that the marks were written in a script that appeared inconsistent with the known paleography of the Fourth Dynasty. The signs seemed clumsily executed and, according to some epigraphers, resembled a much later form of hieratic writing. More damningly, the circumstances of their discovery have raised persistent suspicions of forgery. Colonel Vyse was under intense pressure to produce spectacular findings to justify his expedition's funding. He had already been accused of damaging monuments in his search for inscriptions. The fact that he "discovered" the only inscription linking Khufu to the pyramid, in a location previously unopened and inaccessible, has led generations of researchers to question their authenticity. Even if one accepts the marks as genuine, they are quarry marks or workers' graffiti, not a formal royal dedication. They could indicate that Khufu's workmen quarried stone for a restoration or addition, not that he conceived and built the entire structure.

4.2. The Missing Mummies

Perhaps the most glaring omission, and the one most difficult to explain within the conventional framework, is the complete absence of royal mummies from the Giza pyramids. When explorers finally gained access to the inner chambers in the medieval and modern periods, they found them empty. The massive granite sarcophagus in the King's Chamber, too large to have been moved through the passageways after construction, was found devoid of any remains, funerary goods, or inscriptions. The same holds true for the pyramids of Khafre and Menkaure. Menkaure's basalt sarcophagus was lost at sea during transport to England, but its lid, which remained, showed no inscriptions.

While it is true that tombs throughout Egypt were looted in antiquity, the scale of the emptiness at Giza is striking and anomalous. No significant fragment of a royal burial from the Fourth Dynasty, no canopic jars, no funerary furniture, no fragment of a mummy has ever been found in its attributed pyramid. This starkly contrasts with the later, smaller pyramids of the Fifth and Sixth Dynasties at Saqqara and Abusir. Though these were also heavily looted, they have yielded remnants of sarcophagi, fragments of mummies, and, most importantly, the Pyramid Texts, extensive funerary inscriptions carved onto the walls of the inner chambers specifically for the deceased pharaoh.

The silence within the Giza pyramids is deafening. If Khufu built the Great Pyramid as his eternal tomb, why was there no attempt to inscribe his name, his deeds, his religious beliefs, or his funerary liturgy on its interior walls, as was the practice both before (in the case of Unas at the end of the Fifth Dynasty) and after? The Pyramid Texts were not a later invention; they drew on a much older oral and written tradition. The complete absence of any such decoration in the largest and most magnificent tombs ever built in Egypt is an anomaly that demands explanation. The most parsimonious explanation is that the structures were not originally designed as pharaonic tombs of the Old Kingdom.

4.3. The Problem of Khafre and Menkaure

The situation for Khafre and Menkaure is equally problematic. Khafre's pyramid is slightly smaller than his father's, and Menkaure's is dramatically smaller, a reduction that is conventionally explained by the death of the king or economic constraints. Yet, the Valley Temple associated with Khafre's complex contains some of the most massive stone blocks on the plateau, weighing upwards of 200 tons. The logistics of moving such blocks are, if anything, more challenging than those of the pyramid itself. The inconsistency is never adequately addressed: how could a civilization capable of moving 200-ton blocks build a pyramid inferior in precision to its predecessor? The pattern suggests not a unified building program but the adaptation and augmentation of pre-existing structures by later rulers who could rearrange and add to the site but could not replicate its core construction.

5. THE PROBLEM OF TECHNOLOGICAL REGRESSION

Accepting the orthodox chronology forces one to accept a paradoxical and historically unprecedented trajectory of technological development. After the supposed "apex" of Giza, Egyptian pyramid building did not continue to advance, maintain its standards, or even produce structures of comparable quality. Instead, it experienced a rapid and profound decline that is difficult to explain within the conventional model of cultural evolution.

5.1. The Shoddy Successors

The pyramids of the Fifth and Sixth Dynasties, such as those at Abusir (the pyramids of Sahure, Neferirkare, and Niuserre) and Saqqara (the Pyramid of Unas), are a shadow of their Giza counterparts. They are significantly smaller, with base lengths rarely exceeding 100 meters.

They are poorly constructed from low-quality, locally quarried stone, often rubble and poor-quality limestone, and their cores are little more than rubble-filled frames held together by a skeleton of crude retaining walls. Their casing stones, once elegant fine Tura limestone, have long since collapsed or been scavenged, leaving them as crumbling, shapeless mounds that barely resemble the geometric purity of Giza.

This is not the work of a civilization that had mastered the art of pyramid building; it is the work of a civilization trying desperately to emulate a standard it could no longer achieve. The decline is not gradual; it is precipitous. Within two centuries of Khufu's reign, pyramid construction had degenerated into a shadow of its former self. No historically documented civilization, having achieved such a monumental technological feat, experienced such an abrupt and complete decline immediately afterward, without invasion, cataclysm, or collapse of the central state.

5.2. Reinterpreting the "Experimental" Pyramids

The standard narrative posits the pyramids of Sneferu at Meidum and Dashur as "experiments" on the road to the "perfect" pyramid at Giza. This interpretation, however, is a teleological argument that assumes the destination and works backward. The "collapsed" pyramid at Meidum, which today resembles a three-tiered tower rising from a mountain of rubble, and the uniquely shaped "Bent" Pyramid at Dashur, with its sudden change in angle, can be reinterpreted not as learning exercises, but as grand failures.

These structures represent the dynastic Egyptians' first large-scale attempts to replicate the smooth-sided, geometrically perfect forms of the already-ancient structures on the Giza Plateau. Their failures, the structural collapse at Meidum, the miscalculated angle and subsequent correction at the Bent Pyramid, and the generally inferior workmanship at the Red Pyramid, are precisely what one would expect from a culture attempting to mimic a technology it did not fully understand. They are not prototypes; they are imitations, and flawed ones at that. The Giza pyramids, in this interpretation, are not the culmination of this experimental sequence but the mysterious originals that inspired it.

6. GLOBAL PARALLELS AND THE ZEP TEPI HYPOTHESIS

This theory does not exist in a vacuum. It aligns with a growing body of evidence for global megalithic construction that defies easy explanation within the framework of conventional archaeology. The existence of such parallels strengthens the case for a lost or forgotten chapter in human history.

6.1. A Shared Legacy of Stone

The polygonal masonry of Sacsayhuamán, overlooking the ancient Inca capital of Cusco in Peru, presents a striking parallel to the enigma of Giza. Here, massive, multi-ton stones of andesite and limestone are fitted together with a precision that rivals, and in some ways surpasses, that of Egypt. The stones are carved with curved, multifaceted surfaces that interlock in three dimensions, creating joints so tight that, like at Giza, a knife blade cannot be inserted.

The Incas, who reoccupied the site, claimed they did not build it, attributing it to a race of god-like builders who preceded them. The parallels with the Egyptian attribution of the pyramids to the gods of the First Time are striking.

The immense platform at Baalbek in Lebanon, with its famous "Trilithon", three stones each weighing an estimated 800 tons, and a fourth, even larger, stone weighing approximately 1,200 tons left in the nearby quarry, represents a scale of stone-moving that is unprecedented and unreplicated in any known historical period. These stones are not merely large; they are the largest building blocks ever used in human construction. How they were moved, lifted, and placed with millimeter precision remains an engineering mystery.

These sites, separated by vast oceans and assigned by conventional archaeology to vastly different time periods and unrelated cultures, share common characteristics: colossal blocks, millimetric precision, and the complete absence of convincing explanations for their construction within the technological context of the cultures to which they are attributed. This suggests the possibility of a shared, primordial tradition of megalithic construction, a common technical knowledge that was not independently developed by each culture, but inherited from a much older, possibly global, antecedent civilization.

6.2. The First Time

The ancient Egyptians themselves spoke of a primordial age they called Zep Tepi, or the "First Time." This was not merely a mythological concept but a golden age when the gods, the Neteru, walked upon the Earth and established the cosmic order (ma'at). The Pyramid Texts and other religious inscriptions, among the oldest religious writings in the world, are filled with references to this era, describing it as a time when the first sacred sites were founded, including, according to some interpretations, the sacred territory of Giza.

While mainstream Egyptology interprets these texts as purely mythological allegories, there is no inherent contradiction in the possibility that they may also preserve fragmented cultural memories of a real, prehistoric civilization that occupied the Nile Valley in a remote epoch. The dynastic Egyptians, upon encountering these colossal and ancient structures, would have naturally attributed them to the gods of the "First Time," incorporating them into their own cosmology, funerary practices, and royal ideology. The structures were already there, ancient and mysterious, and the pharaohs sought to associate themselves with their power and antiquity.

7. ADDRESSING THE CRITICS: THE NEED FOR AN INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACH

The primary criticism leveled against this hypothesis, and the one most frequently cited by mainstream Egyptologists, is the alleged lack of archaeological evidence for a pre-dynastic civilization anywhere in Egypt capable of such monumental construction.

Critics argue that there is no sign of the social infrastructure, large, permanent settlements, evidence of social hierarchy, food surplus production and storage, specialized labor forces required to support such a monumental project before the unification of Egypt around 3100 BCE.

This is a valid point, and it deserves a serious response. However, it is ultimately an argument from silence, and it makes assumptions about the completeness of our current archaeological record that are unwarranted.

7.1. The Incomplete Archaeological Record

The Nile Valley is a dynamic and constantly changing environment. Millennia of Nile floods, alluvium deposition, and the shifting course of the river itself have buried or destroyed vast swathes of the prehistoric landscape. The alluvial plain, where settlements would most likely have been located, is now buried under meters of fertile silt deposited over the last ten thousand years. The archaeological record of the early Holocene period (10,000–5000 BCE) in Egypt is, at best, fragmentary and consists largely of sites on high desert terraces that escaped the Nile's flooding. The absence of evidence for a large, sophisticated civilization in this period is not evidence of its absence; it is evidence of the difficulty of finding such evidence in a geologically active and depositional environment.

7.2. The Nature of the Inquiry

Furthermore, if this hypothetical civilization was non-Egyptian in origin, non-literate (in a way that we can recognize), and if it was destroyed or dispersed by a cataclysmic event, its material remains would be incredibly difficult to identify. We would be looking for an unknown culture with unknown artifacts, unknown settlement patterns, and unknown burial practices. The standard criteria used to identify complex societies in the archaeological record, monumental architecture (which we have at Giza, unaccounted for), writing, urbanism, might not apply. If the civilization used wood or other perishable materials for its habitations, little would remain. Its presence might be detectable only through its most durable legacy: the stone monuments it left behind.

7.3. The Younger Dryas Impact Hypothesis

This line of inquiry intersects with a growing body of scientific evidence for a major cataclysmic event at the end of the last Ice Age, around 10,900–10,800 BCE. The Younger Dryas impact hypothesis proposes that one or more cometary fragments struck North America and possibly other locations, triggering a return to glacial conditions for over a thousand years, causing widespread extinctions, and potentially disrupting or destroying any nascent civilizations that may have existed at the time. If such an event occurred, it would provide a plausible mechanism for the disappearance of an advanced prehistoric culture, leaving behind only its most indestructible monuments as enigmatic witnesses to its existence.

7.4. A Call for True Interdisciplinary Collaboration

This hypothesis does not seek to dismantle Egyptology as a discipline. On the contrary, it is a call for an expanded, truly interdisciplinary approach to one of the world's most important archaeological sites. It urges geologists, geomorphologists, astronomers, engineers, and archaeologists to come together to re-examine the Giza Plateau without the constraints of a rigid and potentially flawed chronology.

The water erosion on the Sphinx is a geological question that demands a geological answer, not a dismissal based on archaeological presuppositions. The precision of the pyramids is an engineering question that demands an honest engineering assessment, not a reliance on vague and untested theories about ramps and copper tools. The astronomical alignments are a question for astrophysicists and archaeoastronomers. When the evidence from multiple independent disciplines converges on the same set of anomalies, anomalies that challenge the conventional model. It is time to question the established paradigm, not to defend it with arguments from authority and silence.

8. CONCLUSION

The Giza pyramids are more than just tombs; they are messages from the past written in stone on a monumental scale. They represent a challenge to our understanding of human history and a call to intellectual humility. The conventional narrative, which attributes them neatly to the pharaohs of the Fourth Dynasty, is a simple and convenient story, but it fails to account for the full weight of the evidence when that evidence is examined critically and without preconception.

The impossible precision of the Great Pyramid's construction, a precision unmatched in any subsequent structure; the sophisticated celestial alignments that link the three pyramids to the stars of Orion; the profound and unambiguous water erosion on the Sphinx, pointing to an age measured in thousands of years before the desert claimed the land; the troubling and complete absence of the pharaohs themselves from their supposed eternal resting places; the rapid and inexplicable technological decline that followed the "apex" of Giza; the global parallels with other megalithic sites that share the same enigmatic characteristics, and the persistent Egyptian cultural memory of a "First Time" when gods ruled the land; all of these lines of evidence converge on a single, radical, and compelling conclusion**:** the Giza pyramids were not created by the dynastic Egyptians.

This paper argues that they are the legacy of an unknown, earlier civilization,a sophisticated and technologically advanced culture that inhabited the Nile Valley in a remote epoch, mastering arts of stoneworking, astronomy, and engineering that were subsequently lost to history. The pharaohs of the Fourth Dynasty did not build these wonders from scratch. They discovered them, already ancient even in their own time. They cleared the drifting sand from their bases, perhaps added their own casing stones, built their mortuary temples against them, and claimed them as their own in an act of architectural and political appropriation that served to legitimize their rule by associating them with the divine power of a forgotten age.

The subsequent, inferior pyramids of the Old Kingdom are not evidence of an evolution in building techniques. They are evidence of a failed attempt at replication. They represent the dynastic Egyptians' struggle to imitate a technology they could not understand, a struggle that ultimately ended in decline and abandonment. The mystery of the Giza pyramids is not "how did the Egyptians build them?" The true mystery, the question that should drive future research, is "who built them, and when, and what happened to them?" To answer that question, we must have the courage to look beyond the familiar names of Khufu, Khafre, and Menkaure and see them for what they most likely were: latecomers and inheritors, standing in awe before the monuments of a forgotten world, claiming a legacy they could not create and could never truly replicate.

9. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bauval, Robert, and Graham Hancock. Keeper of Genesis. London: Heinemann, 1996. (Published in the US as The Message of the Sphinx).

Feder, Kenneth L. Frauds, Myths, and Mysteries: Science and Pseudoscience in Archaeology 10th ed., Oxford University Press, 2019.

Lehner, Mark. The Complete Pyramids. London: Thames & Hudson, 1997.

Reader, Colin D. "A Geomorphological Study of the Giza Necropolis, with Implications for the Development of the Site." Archaeometry, vol. 43, no. 1, 2001, pp. 149-165.

Schoch, Robert M. "Redating the Great Sphinx of Giza." Circular Times, edited by Collette M. Dowell, 1992.

Schoch, Robert M., and Robert Aquinas McNally. Voyages of the Pyramid Builders. New York: Tarcher/Putnam, 2003.

West, John Anthony. Serpent in the Sky: The High Wisdom of Ancient Egypt. Quest Books, 1993.